arXiv:1510.02565v2 [math.DS] 4 Jan 2016

CONSTRAINED EVOLUTION ALGEBRAS AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS OF A BISEXUAL POPULATION

DZHUMADIL'DAEV A., OMIROV B.A., ROZIKOV U.A.

Abstract. Consider a bisexual population such that the set of females can be partitioned into finitely many different types indexed by $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and, similarly, that the male types are indexed by $\{1, 2, \ldots, \nu\}$. Recently an evolution algebra of bisexual population was introduced by identifying the coefficients of inheritance of a bisexual population as the structure constants of the algebra. In this paper we study constrained evolution algebra of bisexual population in which type "1" of females and males have preference. For such algebras sets of idempotent and absolute nilpotent elements are known. We consider two particular cases of this algebra, giving more constrains on the structural constants of the algebra. By the first our constrain we obtain an $n + \nu$- dimensional algebra with a matrix of structural constants containing only 0 and 1. In the second case we consider $n = \nu = 2$ but with general constrains. In both cases we study dynamical systems generated by the quadratic evolution operators of corresponding constrained algebras. We find all fixed points, limit points and some 2-periodic points of the dynamical systems. Moreover we study several properties of the constrained algebras connecting them to the dynamical systems. We give some biological interpretation of our results.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010). 17D99; 60J10.

Key words. bisexual population, evolution algebra, evolution operator, fixed point, limit point.

1. Introduction.

Population genetics is the study of the *distributions or states* and *changes* of allele frequency in a population, as the population is subject to the four main evolutionary processes: natural selection, genetic drift, mutation and gene flow. It also takes into account the factors of recombination, population subdivision and population structure.

In this paper we study of evolution algebras and dynamical systems (of states) of sex linked populations. Depending on the matrix of structural constants these algebras are renamed by several distinct names. For example, in mathematical genetics, a genetic algebra is a (possibly non-associative) algebra used to model inheritance in genetics. Some variations of these algebras are called train algebras, special train algebras, gametic algebras, Bernstein algebras, copular algebras, zygotic algebras and baric algebras (also called weighted algebra). The study of these algebras was started by Etherington [4].

In applications to genetics these algebras often have a basis corresponding to the genetically different gametes and the structure constant of the algebra encode the probabilities of producing offspring of various types. The laws of inheritance are then encoded as algebraic properties of the algebra.

For surveys of genetic algebras see [1], [10], [12] and [17].

In [15] an evolution algebra (EA) was introduced, (denoted by E) which is an algebra over a field with a basis on which multiplication is defined by the product of distinct basis terms being zero. This EA is commutative, but not necessarily associative or power-associative [15]. Under some conditions on the matrix of structural constants the algebra E is a baric algebra [2].

In [10] an EA (denoted by $\mathcal{A}$) associated to the free population is introduced and using this non-associative algebra many results are obtained in explicit form, e.g. the explicit description of stationary quadratic operators and the explicit solutions of a nonlinear evolutionary equation in the absence of selection, as well as general theorems on convergence to equilibrium in the presence of selection. Note that the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a baric algebra.

Recently, in [9] a bisexual population was considered and an EA (denoted by $\mathcal{B}$) using inheritance coefficients of the population was introduced. This algebra is a natural generalization of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of free population. Moreover, the evolution algebra of a bisexual population $\mathcal{B}$ is different from the EA E defined in [15]. In fact, the table of multiplications of E *non-zero* multiplications are *only* the square of each basis element. However in $\mathcal{B}$ the square of *each* basis element is zero. Note also that the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is never baric, but it is a dibaric algebra.

In [16] a notion of gonosomal algebra is introduced. This algebra extends the evolution algebra of the bisexual population $\mathcal{B}$. It is shown that gonosomal algebras represent algebraically a wide variety of sex determination systems observed in bisexual populations. Moreover, it was shown that unlike $\mathcal{B}$ the gonosomal algebra is not dibaric, in general.

Note that each multiplication table of an n-dimensional algebra A (n can be infinite) over a field K generates an operator V from K^n to itself. The properties of the algebras can be studied by the dynamical systems generated by the operator V. Note that for E the operator V is a linear operator [15], for other mentioned above algebras the operator V is a quadratic operator [2], [5], [8], [10], [13], [14].

In this paper we study constrained evolution algebra of bisexual population $\mathcal{B}$ in which type "1" of females and males have preference. For such algebras sets of idempotent and absolute nilpotent elements are known [9]. In Section 2 we give necessary definitions. Section 3 is devoted to dynamical systems generated by the corresponding operator V. We consider two particular cases: giving hard constrains on the structural constants of the algebra. By the first our constrain we obtain an $n+\nu$- dimensional algebra with a matrix of structural constants containing only 0 and 1. In the second case we consider $n=\nu=2$ but with general constrains. In both cases we study quadratic dynamical systems. We find all fixed points, limit points and some 2-periodic points of the dynamical systems. In Section 4 we study several properties of the constrained algebras connecting them to the dynamical systems. In the last section we give some biological interpretations of our results.

2. Preliminaries

Assuming that the population is bisexual we suppose that the set of females can be partitioned into finitely many different types indexed by $\{1,2,\dots,n\}$ and, similarly, that

the male types are indexed by $\{1, 2, \dots, \nu\}$ [10]. The number $n+\nu$ is called the dimension of the population.

The following set is called simplex

$$S^{n-1} = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1\}.$$

The population is described by its state vector (x, y) in $S^{n-1} \times S^{\nu-1}$. Vectors x and y are the probability distributions of the females and males over the possible types, i.e., $x \in S^{n-1}$ and $y \in S^{\nu-1}$.

Denote $S = S^{n-1} \times S^{\nu-1}$. We call the partition into types hereditary if for each possible state $z = (x, y) \in S$ describing the current generation, the state $z' = (x', y') \in S$ is uniquely defined describing the next generation. This means that the association $z \mapsto z'$ defines a map $V : S \to S$ called the evolution operator.

For any point $z^{(0)} \in S$ the sequence $z^{(t)} = V(z^{(t-1)}), t = 1, 2, \dots$ is called the trajectory of $z^{(0)}$.

Let $P^{(f)}_{ik,j}$ and $P^{(m)}_{ik,l}$ be inheritance coefficients defined as the probability that a female offspring is type j and, respectively, that a male offspring is of type l, when the parental pair is ik ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$; and $k, l = 1, \dots, \nu$). We have

$$P^{(f)}_{ik,j} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} P^{(f)}_{ik,j} = 1; \quad P^{(m)}_{ik,l} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{l=1}^{\nu} P^{(m)}_{ik,l} = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $z' = (x', y')$ be the state of the offspring population at the birth stage. This state is obtained from inheritance coefficients as

$$x'_j = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} P^{(f)}_{ik,j} x_i y_k; \quad y'_l = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} P^{(m)}_{ik,l} x_i y_k. \tag{2.2}$$

We see from (2.2) that for a bisexual population (BP) the evolution operator is a quadratic mapping of S into itself.

Following [9] we give an algebra structure on the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$ which is closely related to the map defined by (2.2).

Consider $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+\nu}\}$ the canonical basis on $\mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$ and divide the basis as $e^{(f)}_i = e_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $e^{(m)}_i = e_{n+i}$, $i = 1, \dots, \nu$.

Now introduce on $\mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$ the table of multiplications defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{(f)}_i e^{(m)}_k = e^{(m)}_k e^{(f)}_i = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} P^{(f)}_{ik,j} e^{(f)}_j + \sum_{l=1}^{\nu} P^{(m)}_{ik,l} e^{(m)}_l\right), \\ &e^{(f)}_i e^{(f)}_j = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad e^{(m)}_k e^{(m)}_l = 0, \quad k, l = 1, \dots, \nu. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, we identify the coefficients of bisexual inheritance as the structure constants of an algebra, i.e., a bilinear mapping of $\mathbb{R}^{n+\nu} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$.

The general formula for the multiplication is the extension of (2.3) by bilinearity, i.e., for $z,t\in\mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$,

$$z=(x,y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_ie_i^{(f)}+\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}y_je_j^{(m)},\quad t=(u,v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}u_ie_i^{(f)}+\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}v_je_j^{(m)}$$

using (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}zt=&\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}P_{ij,k}^{(f)}(x_iv_j+u_iy_j)\right)e_k^{(f)}\\&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{\nu}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}P_{ij,l}^{(m)}(x_iv_j+u_iy_j)\right)e_l^{(m)}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

This algebraic interpretation is very useful. For example, a BP state $z=(x,y)$ is an equilibrium (fixed point, $V(z)=z$) precisely when z is an idempotent element of the algebra $\mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$. The element z is called an *absolute nilpotent* if $z^2=0$, i.e., z is zero-point of the evolution operator V.

The algebra $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_V$ generated by the evolution operator V (see (2.2)) is called the *evolution algebra of the bisexual population* (EABP) [9]. In [9] the basic properties of the EABP are studied.

Consider the following constrain on heredity coefficients (2.1):

$$P_{ik,j}^{(f)}=\begin{cases}a_{ij}, & \text{if } k=1\\ 1, & \text{if } k\neq 1, j=1\\ 0, & \text{if } k\neq 1, j\neq 1\end{cases},\quad P_{ik,l}^{(m)}=\begin{cases}b_{kl}, & \text{if } i=1\\ 1, & \text{if } i\neq 1, l=1\\ 0, & \text{if } i\neq 1, l\neq 1.\end{cases}\tag{2.5}$$

It is easy to see that the matrices $A=(a_{ij})$ and $B=(b_{kl})$ by (2.1) satisfy the following conditions

$$a_{ij}\geq 0,\ \ \sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ij}=1,\ \ i=1,\dots,n;\ \ b_{kl}\geq 0,\ \ \sum_{l=1}^{\nu}b_{kl}=1,\ \ k=1,\dots,\nu,\tag{2.6}$$

i.e. both matrices are stochastic.

The condition (2.5) has very clear biological treatment: the type "1" of females and the type "1" of males have preference, i.e., any type of female (male) can be born if its father (mother) has type "1". If the father (mother) has type $\neq 1$ then only type "1" female (male) can be born.

Under condition (2.5) the multiplication (2.3) became as

$$e_i^{(f)}e_k^{(m)} = e_k^{(m)}e_i^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{cases} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} a_{1j}e_j^{(f)} + \sum\limits_{l=1}^{\nu} b_{1l}e_l^{(m)} & \text{if } \ i=1, k=1 \\ \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}e_j^{(f)} + e_1^{(m)} & \text{if } \ i\neq 1, k=1 \\ e_1^{(f)} + \sum\limits_{l=1}^{\nu} b_{kl}e_l^{(m)} & \text{if } \ i=1, k\neq 1 \\ e_1^{(f)} + e_1^{(m)} & \text{if } \ i\neq 1, k\neq 1\,, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

$$e_i^{(f)}e_j^{(f)} = 0, \quad i,j=1,\dots,n; \qquad e_k^{(m)}e_l^{(m)} = 0, \quad k,l=1,\dots,\nu\,.$$

The operator defined by (2.2) has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} x_1' &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i1}y_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\nu} y_k\right)x_i \\ x_j' &= y_1\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_i, \quad j\neq 1 \\ y_1' &= \sum_{k=1}^{\nu}\left(b_{k1}x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} x_i\right)y_k \\ y_l' &= x_1\sum_{k=1}^{\nu} b_{kl}y_k, \quad l\neq 1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{B}_1$ the EABP defined by the multiplication table (2.7). This algebra was introduced in [9] and the sets of idempotent and absolute nilpotent elements of the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ are described. In this paper we continue the study of this algebra and corresponding dynamical systems.

3. Dynamical systems generated by evolution operator (2.8)

Note that (2.8) maps S to itself. Let $m \geq 1$ be a natural number. If we write $z^{(m)}$ for the power $(\cdots(z^2)^2\cdots)$ (m times) with $z^{(0)} \equiv z$ then the trajectory (or dynamical system (DS)) with initial state z is $V^m(z) = z^{(m)}$. In this section we shall study the behavior of the trajectory for each given initial point $z \in S$. But this problem is a rather difficult in general, because the dynamical system generated by operator (2.8) is a complicated non linear system. Therefore, we shall consider two particular cases of the system.

3.1. **A simple case: a hard constrain.** Let us start with a particular case of $\mathcal{B}_1$ and completely study the corresponding dynamical system.

Consider the case

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } \ i\neq j \end{cases}, \quad b_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ k=l \\ 0 & \text{if } \ k\neq l\,, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

then the operator defined by (2.8) has the following form

$$H:\begin{cases} x_1' = 1 - y_1(1-x_1) \\ x_j' = y_1 x_j, \quad j = 2,\dots,n \\ y_1' = 1 - x_1(1-y_1) \\ y_l' = x_1 y_l, \quad l = 2,\dots,\nu. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Let $\mathrm{Fix}(H)$ be the set of fixed points of H, i.e., $H(z) = z$, $z = (x,y) \in S$. By [9, Proposition 10.6] we get

Proposition 1. *The set of fixed points is*

$$\mathrm{Fix}(H) = \left\{ ((1,0,\dots,0),(y_1,\dots,y_\nu)) : \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} y_k = 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ ((x_1,\dots,x_n),(1,0,\dots,0)) : \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1 \right\}.$$

Now we shall examine the type of the fixed points.

Definition 1. *(see [3]). A fixed point z of an operator H is called hyperbolic if its Jacobian J at z has no eigenvalues on the unit circle.*

Definition 2. *(see [3]). A hyperbolic fixed point z is called:*

- *attracting if all the eigenvalues of the Jacobi matrix $J(z)$ are less than 1 in absolute value;*
- *repelling if all the eigenvalues of the Jacobi matrix $J(z)$ are greater than 1 in absolute value;*
- *a saddle otherwise.*

To find the type of a fixed point of the operator (3.2) we write the Jacobi matrix, replacing x_1 by $1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} x_i$ and y_1 by $1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} y_j$, which is $(n-1)\times(\nu-1)$-matrix of the form:

$$J(s)=J_H(s)=\begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -x_2 & -x_2 & \dots & -x_2 \\ 0 & y_1 & \dots & 0 & -x_3 & -x_3 & \dots & -x_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & y_1 & -x_n & -x_n & \dots & -x_n \\ -y_2 & -y_2 & \dots & -y_2 & x_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -y_3 & -y_3 & \dots & -y_3 & 0 & x_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ -y_\nu & -y_\nu & \dots & -y_\nu & 0 & 0 & \dots & x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $J(((1,0,\dots,0),(y_1,\dots,y_\nu)))$ has eigenvalues equal to 1, y_1, and $J(((x_1,\dots,x_n),(1,0,\dots,0)))$ has eigenvalues 1 and x_1 therefore all fixed points are not hyperbolic.

The following theorem completely describes the limit points of trajectories for operator H.

Theorem 1. *Let $z^{(0)}=((x_1^{(0)},\dots,x_n^{(0)}),(y_1^{(0)},\dots,y_\nu^{(0)}))\in S$ be an initial point*

(i) *If $x_1^{(0)}\neq 0$ and $y_1^{(0)}\neq 0$ then*

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} z^{(m)}=\begin{cases} ((1,0,\dots,0),(1,0,\dots,0)), & \text{if} \quad x_1^{(0)}=y_1^{(0)} \\ ((1,0,\dots,0),(1-x_1^{(0)}+y_1^{(0)},y_2^*,\dots,y_\nu^*)), & \text{if } x_1^{(0)}>y_1^{(0)} \\ ((1+x_1^{(0)}-y_1^{(0)},x_2^*,\dots,x_n^*),(1,0,\dots,0)), & \text{if } x_1^{(0)}<y_1^{(0)}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$x_j^*=\frac{(y_1^{(0)}-x_1^{(0)})x_j^{(0)}}{1-x_1^{(0)}},\quad j=2,\dots,n;\quad y_l^*=\frac{(x_1^{(0)}-y_1^{(0)})y_l^{(0)}}{1-y_1^{(0)}},\quad l=2,\dots,\nu.$$

(ii) *If $x_1^{(0)}=0$ then*

$$z^{(m)}=H(z^{(0)})=\left((1-y_1^{(0)},y_1^{(0)}x_2^{(0)},\dots,y_1^{(0)}x_n^{(0)}),(1,0,\dots,0)\right),\quad \text{for all}\ \ m\geq 1.$$

(iii) *If $y_1^{(0)}=0$ then*

$$z^{(m)}=H(z^{(0)})=\left((1,0,\dots,0),(1-x_1^{(0)},x_1^{(0)}y_2^{(0)},\dots,x_1^{(0)}y_\nu^{(0)})\right),\quad \text{for all}\ \ m\geq 1.$$

Proof. From (3.2) we get

$$H^{m+1}(z^{(0)}) : \begin{cases} x_1^{(m+1)} = 1 - y_1^{(m)}(1 - x_1^{(m)}) \\ x_j^{(m+1)} = y_1^{(m)} x_j^{(m)}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n \\ y_1^{(m+1)} = 1 - x_1^{(m)}(1 - y_1^{(m)}) \\ y_l^{(m+1)} = x_1^{(m)} y_l^{(m)}, \quad l = 2, \dots, \nu. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Since $y_1^{(m)} \in [0,1]$ we have

$$x_1^{(m+1)} = 1 - y_1^{(m)}(1 - x_1^{(m)}) \geq 1 - (1 - x_1^{(m)}) = x_1^{(m)},$$
$$x_j^{(m+1)} \leq x_j^{(m)}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus $x_1^{(m)}$ is a non-decreasing sequence, which bounded from above by 1 and for each $j = 2, \dots, n$ the sequence $x_j^{(m)}$ is non-increasing and with lower bound 0. Consequently, each $x_j^{(m)}$ has a limit say α_j, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Similarly one shows that $y_l^{(m)}$ also has a limit, say β_l, $l = 1, \dots, \nu$. Hence, the limit $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} z^{(m)} = z_*$ exists. It is clear that $z_* \in \mathrm{Fix}(H)$, and $z_* = z_*(z^{(0)})$, i.e., it depends on the initial point $z^{(0)}$. Now we shall find $z_*(z^{(0)})$. Subtracting from the first equality of (3.3) the third one we get

$$x_1^{(m+1)} - y_1^{(m+1)} = x_1^{(m)} - y_1^{(m)}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{3.4}$$

Iterating these equalities we obtain

$$x_1^{(m)} = y_1^{(m)} + (x_1^{(0)} - y_1^{(0)}), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \tag{3.5}$$

Proof of (i). From the first and third equations of (3.3), and (3.5) for limit values α_1 and β_1 we get the following equations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 = 1 - \beta_1(1 - \alpha_1) \\ \beta_1 = 1 - \alpha_1(1 - \beta_1) \\ \alpha_1 = \beta_1 + (x_1^{(0)} - y_1^{(0)}). \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

It is easy to see that the system (3.6) has following solution:

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = \begin{cases} (1,1), & \text{if } \ x_1^{(0)} = y_1^{(0)} \\ (1, 1 - x_1^{(0)} + y_1^{(0)}), & \text{if } \ x_1^{(0)} > y_1^{(0)} \\ (1 + x_1^{(0)} - y_1^{(0)}, 1), & \text{if } \ x_1^{(0)} < y_1^{(0)}. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Thus if $x_1^{(0)} = y_1^{(0)}$ then $z_* = z_*(z^{(0)}) = ((1,0,\dots,0),(1,0,\dots,0))$. Consider now two cases:

Case $x_1^{(0)} > y_1^{(0)}$: In this case $y_1^{(0)} < 1$. By (3.7) we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} x_j^{(m)} = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n; \quad \lim_{m\to\infty} y_1^{(m)} = 1 - x_1^{(0)} + y_1^{(0)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Now we shall calculate $\lim_{m\to\infty} y_l^{(m)}$, $l = 2, \dots, \nu$. From the third and last equalities of (3.3) we get

$$1 - y_1^{(m+1)} = x_1^{(m)}(1 - y_1^{(m)}) = x_1^{(m)}x_1^{(m-1)}(1 - y_1^{(m-1)}) = \cdots = (1 - y_1^{(0)}) \prod_{k=0}^{m} x_1^{(k)}. \quad (3.9)$$

$$y_l^{(m+1)} = x_1^{(m)}y_l^{(m)} = x_1^{(m)}x_1^{(m-1)}y_l^{(m-1)} = \cdots = y_l^{(0)} \prod_{k=0}^{m} x_1^{(k)}, \quad l = 2, \dots, \nu. \quad (3.10)$$

Taking limit from both side of (3.9) and using (3.8) we obtain

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{m} x_1^{(k)} = \frac{x_1^{(0)} - y_1^{(0)}}{1 - y_1^{(0)}}.$$

Using this equality from (3.10) we get

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} y_l^{(m)} = \frac{(x_1^{(0)} - y_1^{(0)})y_l^{(0)}}{1 - y_1^{(0)}}, \quad l = 2, \dots, \nu.$$

Case $x_1^{(0)} < y_1^{(0)}$: This case is similar to the previous case and one obtains

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} x_j^{(m)} = \frac{(y_1^{(0)} - x_1^{(0)})x_j^{(0)}}{1 - x_1^{(0)}}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

These equalities complete the proof of part (i).

Proof of (ii) Let $x_1^{(0)} = 0$, $y_1^{(0)} \neq 0$. In this case by (3.3) we get

$$H(z^{(0)}) : \begin{cases} x_1^{(1)} = 1 - y_1^{(0)} \\ x_j^{(1)} = y_1^{(0)}x_j^{(0)}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n \\ y_1^{(1)} = 1 \\ y_l^{(1)} = 0, \quad l = 2, \dots, \nu. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Thus by Proposition 1 we have $H(z^{(0)}) \in \mathrm{Fix}(H)$. This completes the proof of (ii).

Proof of (iii) is similar to the case (ii). □

3.2. **All possible constrains for** $n = \nu = 2$**.** Consider the case $n = \nu = 2$. In this case since

$$x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2 = a_{11} + a_{12} = a_{21} + a_{22} = b_{11} + b_{12} = b_{21} + b_{22} = 1$$

denoting

$$a = a_{12}, \quad b = a_{22}, \quad c = b_{12}, \quad d = b_{22}, \quad x = x_2, \quad y = y_2 \quad (3.12)$$

the operator (2.8) can be reduced to the following operator

$$T : \begin{cases} x' = (1 - y)(a + (b - a)x) \\ y' = (1 - x)(c + (d - c)y), \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

where $a,b,c,d,x,y \in [0,1]$. Thus we get a quadratic operator with four independent parameters. In this subsection we shall study the dynamical system generated by this operator.

3.2.1. *Fixed points of (3.13).* To find fixed points of (3.13) we should solve the following

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (1-y)(a+(b-a)x) \\ y &= (1-x)(c+(d-c)y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Denote by $\mathrm{Fix}(T)$ the set of all fixed points of the operator T given by (3.13).

From the first equation of the system we find

$$(1-(b-a)(1-y))x = a(1-y). \tag{3.15}$$

Case: $(1-y)(b-a)=1$. In this case from (3.15) we get $a=0$ or $y=1$. If $a=0$ then $(1-y)b=1$, i.e., $y=1-1/b$. This gives non-negative y only if $b=1$, i.e. in this case $y=0$. Under these assumptions from the second equation of the system (3.14) we get $c=0$ or $x=1$. Assume $c=0$ then it is easy to see that $(x,0)$ is a solution of the system for any $x\in[0,1]$. In case $c\neq 0$ we get solution $(1,0)$.

Case: $(1-y)(b-a)\neq 1$. From (3.15) we obtain

$$x=\frac{a(1-y)}{a(1-y)+1-b(1-y)}. \tag{3.16}$$

From this equality it is clear that for each $y\in[0,1]$ the corresponding x also will be in $[0,1]$. Substituting (3.16) in the second equation of (3.14) we get

$$[b(d-c)+a-b]y^2+[2bc-bd-a+b-c+d-1]y+c(1-b)=0. \tag{3.17}$$

Subcase: $b(d-c)=b-a$ and $c(b-1)=1-d$. Then $c(1-b)=0$. Under these conditions we get $a=c=0$, $d=1$ and $b\neq 1$ which gives fixed points $(0,y)$ for any $y\in[0,1]$. Moreover if $a=c\neq 0$, $b=d=1$, then the following points are fixed

$$P(y)=\left(\frac{a(1-y)}{a(1-y)+y},y\right), \quad \forall y\in[0,1].$$

Subcase: $b(d-c)=b-a$ and $c(b-1)\neq 1-d$. Then the following point is a fixed point

$$P_0=\left(\frac{a(1-d)}{a(1-d)+c(1-b)+(1-b)(1-d)},\frac{c(1-b)}{c(1-b)+(1-d)}\right).$$

Subcase: $b(d-c)<b-a$. In this case we have

$$D=[2bc-bd-a+b-c+d-1]^2-4[b(d-c)-(b-a)]c(1-b)>0.$$

Thus equation (3.17) has two solutions $y_1<y_2$. By Vieta's theorem one can see that $y_1<0$. For y_2 we have

$$y_2=\frac{(2bc-bd-a+b-c+d-1)+\sqrt{D}}{2(b(c-d)+b-a)}.$$

Subcase: $b(d-c)>b-a$. In this case we assume

$$D=[2bc-bd-a+b-c+d-1]^2-4[b(d-c)-(b-a)]c(1-b)>0.$$

Thus equation (3.17) has two solutions $0 < y_1 < y_2$, with

$$y_{1,2} = \frac{-(2bc - bd - a + b - c + d - 1) \pm \sqrt{D}}{2(b(d-c) + a - b)}.$$

Choose parameters a, b, c, d such that y_1 or/and y_2 belong in $[0, 1]$ then corresponding value of x is defined by (3.16). Denote this fixed point by $Q_i = (x_i, y_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Summarizing we get the following

Proposition 2. *The set* $\mathrm{Fix}(T)$ *has the following form*

$$\mathrm{Fix}(T) = \begin{cases} \{(0,0)\},\ \textit{if}\ \ a = c = 0, b \neq 1, d \neq 1, \\ \{(x,0),\ x \in [0,1]\}, \quad \textit{if}\ \ a = c = 0, b = 1, \\ \{(0,y),\ y \in [0,1]\}, \quad \textit{if}\ \ a = c = 0, d = 1, \\ \{(1,0)\}, \quad \textit{if}\ \ a = 0, b = 1, c \neq 0, \\ \{P(y),\ y \in [0,1]\}, \quad \textit{if}\ \ a = c \neq 0, b = d = 1, \\ \{P_0\}, \quad \textit{if}\ \ b(d-c) = b - a, c(b-1) \neq 1 - d, \\ \{Q_2\}, \quad \textit{if}\ \ b(d-c) < b - a, \\ \{Q_1, Q_2\}, \quad \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Remark 1. *Note that the case* $a = c = 0$, $b = d = 1$ *is a particular case of operator (3.2). Therefore in the sequel of this section we consider the case when* $a + c \neq 0$ *or* $b + d \neq 2$. *Thus we will consider the cases where the fixed points* $P(y)$, P_0, Q_i, $i = 1, 2$ *exist.*

3.2.2. *Type of fixed points.* To study type of fixed points consider Jacobi matrix of the operator (3.13) at a fixed point $z = (x, y)$:

$$J(z) = J_T(z) = \begin{pmatrix} (b-a)(1-y) & -(a + (b-a)x) \\ -(c + (d-c)y) & (d-c)(1-x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $P(y)$ we have $a = c \neq 0$ and $b = d = 1$. In this case one can find two eigenvalues: $\lambda_1 = 1$ and

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(a, y) = \frac{(1-a)y - [a + (1-a)y]^2}{(1-a)y + a}.$$

Now we shall prove that $|\lambda_2| < 1$ for any $a \in (0, 1)$ and $y \in [0, 1]$. Denote $t = a + (1-a)y$. We have $t \in [a, 1]$. The function λ_2 can be written as

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(t) = 1 - t - \frac{a}{t}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$-a = \lambda_2(a) = \lambda_2(1) = \min_{t \in [a,1]} \lambda_2(t) \leq \lambda_2(t) \leq \max_{t \in [a,1]} \lambda_2(t) = \lambda_2(\sqrt{a}) = 1 - 2\sqrt{a}.$$

From this inequalities we get

$$|\lambda_2| \leq \max\{a, |1 - 2\sqrt{a}|\} < 1, \quad \forall a \in (0, 1).$$

Thus the fixed point $P(y)$ is not hyperbolic.

At the fixed point P_0 the eigenvalues of $J(P_0)$ has very long expression. Using Maple and varying the parameters a, b, c, d (taking into account the conditions $b(d-c) = b-a$ and $c(b-1) \neq 1-d$) one can check that P_0 is attractive. For example,

- if $a = 0.18$, $b = 0.2$, $c = 0.3$, $d = 0.4$ then eigenvalues are approximately -0.197 and 0.298.
- if $a = 0$, $b = 0$, $c = 0.9$, $d = 0.1$ then eigenvalues are -0.8 and 0.
- if $a = 0.81$, $b = 0.9$, $c = 0.7$, $d = 0.8$ then eigenvalues are -0.743, 0.845.

Moreover, giving values of three parameters and plot the eigenvalues as functions of the remaining parameter, one can see that the absolute value of the functions are less than 1. Thus based on numerical computations we conjecture that P_0 is attractive for any parameters a, b, c, d with conditions $b(d-c) = b-a$ and $c(b-1) \neq 1-d$.

Checking the type of Q_1 and Q_2 is also very difficult, because corresponding eigenvalues have very long expression depending on four parameters. Using Maple one can get the following results for Q_2 in case $b(d-c) < b-a$:

- if $a = 0.1$, $b = 0.9$, $c = 0.2$, $d = 0.3$ then eigenvalues are approximately -0.0205, 0.7662.
- if $a = 0.7$, $b = 0.9$, $c = 0.2$, $d = 0.3$ then eigenvalues are approximately -0.3245, 0.5340.
- if $a = 0.7$, $b = 0.71$, $c = 0.2$, $d = 0$ then eigenvalues are -0.3949, 0.3367.

Thus we also have conjecture that Q_2 is an attracting point for any a, b, c, d with $b(d-c) < b-a$. Similar computations can be done for Q_1.

3.2.3. *Dynamics for $a = c$, $b = d$.* In this case the operator (3.13) has the following form

$$T : \begin{cases} x' = (1-y)(a + (b-a)x) \\ y' = (1-x)(a + (b-a)y), \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

The following lemma gives full description of fixed points.

Lemma 1. *The set of fixed points* $\mathrm{Fix}(T)$ *of the operator (3.18) has the following form*

a. *If $b = 1$ then*

$$\mathrm{Fix}(T) = \begin{cases} \{(1,0)\} \cup \{(0,y), \forall y \in [0,1]\}, & \text{if } \ a = 0 \\ \left\{ \left(x, \frac{a(1-x)}{a(1-x)+a} \right), \forall x \in [0,1] \right\}, & \text{if } \ a \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

b. *If $b \neq 1$ then there is a unique fixed point, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{Fix}(T) = \begin{cases} \{(\frac{a}{1+a}, \frac{a}{1+a})\}, & \text{if } \ a = b \\ \{(x_*, x_*)\}, & \text{if } \ a \neq b \end{cases}$$

where

$$x_* = \frac{1 - b + 2a - \sqrt{(1-b)^2 + 4a}}{2(a-b)}.$$

Proof. Follows from detailed (but simple) analysis of the system

$$\begin{cases} x = (1-y)(a+(b-a)x) \\ y = (1-x)(a+(b-a)y). \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

For example, subtracting from the first equation of the system the second one we get $x-y = b(x-y)$, i.e., $x = y$ if $b \neq 1$. Consequently in the case $b \neq 1$ the solutions are given by solution of $x = (1-x)(a-(b-a)x)$. □

Lemma 2. *Type of fixed points mentioned in Lemma 1 as the following*

(a) *If $b = 1$ the fixed points mentioned in part a. of Lemma 1 are not hyperbolic.*
(b) *If $b \in [0,1)$ then for fixed points mentioned in part b. we have*

$$(\frac{a}{1+a}, \frac{a}{1+a}) \quad \textit{is} \text{ attractive}$$

and

$$(x_*, x_*) = \begin{cases} \text{attractive}, & \textit{if} \ \ 0 \leq a < 1 - \frac{(1-b)^2}{4} \\ \text{nonhyperbolic}, & \textit{if} \ \ a = 1 - \frac{(1-b)^2}{4} \\ \text{saddle}, & \textit{if} \ \ 1 - \frac{(1-b)^2}{4} < a \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

Proof. (a) Simple computations show that for each fixed point mentioned in part a. of Lemma 1 one of eigenvalues of the corresponding Jacobian is equal to 1 and the second eigenvalue is less than 1.

(b) For the case $a = b < 1$ the eigenvalues are $-a$ and a, therefore $(\frac{a}{1+a}, \frac{a}{1+a})$ is attractive. For the case $a \neq b$ we have the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = b < 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 1 - \sqrt{(1-b)^2 + 4a}$. It is easy to see that

$$|\lambda_2| = \begin{cases} < 1, & \text{if} \ \ 0 \leq a < 1 - \frac{(1-b)^2}{4} \\ 1, & \text{if} \ \ a = 1 - \frac{(1-b)^2}{4} \\ > 1, & \text{if} \ \ 1 - \frac{(1-b)^2}{4} < a \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof. □

Limit points for $a = b$. One very simple but interesting case is $a = b$. In this case we have

$$x^{(n+1)} = a(1-y^{(n)}) = a - a^2 + a^2 x^{(n-1)} = a - a^2 + a^3 - a^3 y^{(n-2)} = \cdots =$$

$$\frac{a(1-(-a)^{n+1})}{1+a} + (-a)^{n+1} x^{(0)} \quad (\text{or } y^{(0)} \text{ depending on parity of } n).$$

Similarly for $y^{(n+1)}$ we obtain

$$y^{(n+1)} = \frac{a(1-(-a)^{n+1})}{1+a} + (-a)^{n+1} y^{(0)} \quad (\text{or } x^{(0)} \text{ depending on parity of } n).$$

Consequently, we have the following

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(x^{(n)},y^{(n)})=(\frac{a}{1+a},\frac{a}{1+a}),\quad \text{if}\ \ 0\le a=b<1.$$

Moreover if $a=b=1$ then for any initial point $(x^{(0)},y^{(0)})$ its trajectory $(x^{(n)},y^{(n)})$ is a 2-periodic sequence:

$$(x^{(n)},y^{(n)})=\begin{cases}(x^{(0)},y^{(0)}), & \text{if}\ \ n\ \ \text{is even}\\ (1-y^{(0)},1-x^{(0)}), & \text{if}\ \ n\ \ \text{is odd}\end{cases}$$

Limit points for $a\neq b$. For $(x^{(0)},y^{(0)})\in[0,1]^2$, let $(x^{(n)},y^{(n)})$ be the trajectory generated by actions of operator (3.18).

The following lemma is useful

Lemma 3. *The following equalities hold*

(1) $x^{(n+1)}-y^{(n+1)}=b(x^{(n)}-y^{(n)})$ *for any* $n=0,1,2,\dots$.
(2) *If* $b=1$ *then* $x^{(n)}-y^{(n)}=x^{(0)}-y^{(0)}$ *for any* $n=1,2,\dots$.
(3) *If* $b\neq 1$ *then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(x^{(n)}-y^{(n)}\right)=0.$$

Proof. From (3.18) we have

$$\begin{cases}x^{(n+1)}=(1-y^{(n)})(a+(b-a)x^{(n)})\\ y^{(n+1)}=(1-x^{(n)})(a+(b-a)y^{(n)}).\end{cases}\tag{3.20}$$

Subtracting from the first equation the second one we get (1). The assertions (2) and (3) follow from (1). □

It is easy to see that the set $I=\{(x,y)\in[0,1]^2: x=y\}$ is invariant with respect to operator (3.18), i.e., $T(I)\subset I$. Let us study the dynamical system on I.

Reducing the operator T on the set I we get

$$x'=f(x)=(1-x)(a+(b-a)x).$$

This function has the following properties: $f(0)=a$, $f(1)=0$ and if $2a\ge b$ then $f(x)$ is a decreasing function, so maximal value of f is a. If $2a<b$ then $f(x)$ is increasing for $x\in[0,\frac{b-2a}{2(b-a)}]$ and decreasing for $x\in[\frac{b-2a}{2(b-a)},1]$, then maximal value of f is $\frac{b^2}{4(b-a)}$, which is less than 1 for any $b>2a$. Thus $f:[0,1]\to[0,1]$.

For any $a\neq b$ the function $f(x)$ has a unique fixed point $x_*\in[0,1]$ which is defined in Lemma 1. It is known that $x_*\in[0,1]$ is attractive if $|f'(x_*)|<1$, saddle if $|f'(x_*)|=1$ and repeller if $|f'(x_*)|>1$. Solving these inequalities we get

$$x_*=\begin{cases}\text{attractive}, & \text{if}\ \ 0\le a<1-\frac{(1-b)^2}{4}\\ \text{saddle}, & \text{if}\ \ a=1-\frac{(1-b)^2}{4}\\ \text{repeller}, & \text{if}\ \ 1-\frac{(1-b)^2}{4}<a\le 1,\end{cases}$$

where $b \in [0,1)$.

We will apply the following lemma (see [7, p.70]).

Lemma 4. *Let $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be a continuous function with a fixed point $x_* \in (0,1)$. Assume that f is differentiable at x_* and that $f'(x_*) < -1$. Then there exist p_1, p_2, $0 \le p_1 < x_* < p_2 \le 1$, such that $p_1 = f(p_2)$ and $p_2 = f(p_1)$.*

We note that the condition $f'(x_*) < -1$ of Lemma 4 is satisfied iff $1 - \frac{(1-b)^2}{4} < a \le 1$, i.e., when the fixed point is repeller, then by Lemma 4 it follows that there are two 2-periodic points, denoted by p_1 and p_2, which are solutions of the system $p_1 = f(p_2)$, $p_2 = f(p_1)$. Thus p_1 and p_2 are solutions of $f^2(x) = x$ which are different from the fixed point x_*. Hence to find 2-periodic points one has to solve the following equation

$$\frac{f^2(x) - x}{f(x) - x} = 0.$$

This equation has solutions

$$p_1 = \frac{2a - b - 1 - \sqrt{(1-b)^2 - 4(1-a)}}{2(a-b)}, \quad p_2 = \frac{2a - b - 1 + \sqrt{(1-b)^2 - 4(1-a)}}{2(a-b)}.$$

Recall that a periodic point p with of period n is called an attracting periodic point if $|(f^n)'(p)| < 1$.

Lemma 5. *Both 2-periodic points p_1 and p_2 are attracting.*

Proof. Since $(f^2)'(x) = f'(f(x))f'(x)$ we have

$$(f^2)'(p_1) = f'(f(p_1))f'(p_1) = f'(p_2)f'(p_1) = (f^2)'(p_2) = 4 - 4a + 2b - b^2.$$

Using condition $1 - \frac{(1-b)^2}{4} < a \le 1$, $b \ne 1$ one can check that $|4 - 4a + 2b - b^2| < 1$. □

Summarizing we get

Theorem 2. *For an initial point $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \in [0,1]^2$ its trajectory $(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)})$ generated by the operator (3.18) has the following properties*

1. $$\lim_{n\to\infty} (x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) = (\frac{a}{1+a}, \frac{a}{1+a}), \quad \text{if } \ 0 \le a = b < 1.$$
2. *If $a = b = 1$ then*
$$(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) = \begin{cases} (x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}), & \text{if } \ n \ \text{ is even} \\ (1 - y^{(0)}, 1 - x^{(0)}), & \text{if } \ n \ \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$
3. *Let $b \ne 1$ and $0 \le a < 1 - \frac{(1-b)^2}{4}$. Then there is an open neighborhood U of (x_*, x_*) such that if $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \in U$, then*
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) = (x_*, x_*).$$

4. *Let $b \neq 1$ and $1-\frac{(1-b)^2}{4} < a \leq 1$. Then there is an open neighborhood V of (x_*, x_*) such that, if $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \in V \setminus \{(x_*, x_*)\}$, with $x^{(0)} = y^{(0)}$ then there exists $k > 0$ such that $(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}) \notin V$. Moreover, there is a curve $\gamma \subset [0,1]^2$ through (x_*, x_*) which is invariant with respect to T and for any initial point $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \in \gamma$ all trajectories tend to (x_*, x_*).*
5. *If $1-\frac{(1-b)^2}{4} < a \leq 1$ and $x^{(0)} = y^{(0)} < x_*$ (resp. $x^{(0)} = y^{(0)} > x_*$) then there is an open neighborhood $W \subset [0,1]$ of the 2-periodic orbit $\{p_1, p_2\} \subset [0,1]$ such that if $x^{(0)} \in W$, then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) = \begin{cases} (p_1, p_1), & \text{if } n = 2m,\ m \to \infty \\ (p_2, p_2), & \text{if } n = 2m+1,\ m \to \infty \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \lim_{n\to\infty}(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) = \begin{cases} (p_2, p_2), & \text{if } n = 2m,\ m \to \infty \\ (p_1, p_1), & \text{if } n = 2m+1,\ m \to \infty \end{cases}\right).$$

Proof. The proof follows from above mentioned results by applying Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.5 of [3, p.216]. □

Remark 2. *In part 4 of Theorem 2 the set I (resp. γ) is the local unstable (resp. stable) manifold at (x_*, x_*) (see [3]). We note that the part 2 of this theorem gives a continuum set of 2-periodic orbits: any point of $[0,1]$ generates a 2-periodic orbit. But in part 5 we have only one 2-periodic orbit $\{(p_1, p_1), (p_2, p_2)\}$ of the operator (3.18). This periodic orbit attracts other non-periodic trajectories.*

4. Constrained algebras

Let us first give some properties of the general algebra of bisexual population $\mathcal{B}$.

Extend the operator (2.2) on $\mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$, i.e. consider the operator $V\colon \mathbb{R}^{n+\nu} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$, $z = (x, y) \mapsto V(z) = z' = (x', y')$ defined as

$$x'_j = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} P^{(f)}_{ik,j} x_i y_k; \quad y'_l = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} P^{(m)}_{ik,l} x_i y_k \,. \tag{4.1}$$

Consider the following linear form $X\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ($Y\colon \mathbb{R}^\nu \to \mathbb{R}$) defined by

$$X(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i, \quad \left(Y(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} y_k\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Denote

$$H_i = \{z = (x, y) : X(x) = Y(y) = i\}, \quad i = 0, 1\,, \tag{4.3}$$

$$Z_0 = \{z = (x, y) : X(x)Y(y) = 0\}. \tag{4.4}$$

the product of the i-hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^\nu$, respectively, and the set of absolute nilpotent elements.

A subalgebra of an algebra is a vector subspace which is closed under the multiplication of vectors.

Proposition 3. (1) *The sets H_0, H_1 and $S = S^{n-1} \times S^{\nu-1}$ are closed under the multiplication of the algebra $\mathcal{B}$.*

(2) *There is one-to-one correspondence between one-dimensional subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}$ and the sets of non-zero fixed points of operator V together with absolute nilpotent elements.*

Proof. (1) We give the proof for H_1 for other sets it is similar. Take any two point $z = (x, y), t = (u, v) \in H_1$ then by (2.4) we obtain

$$X(zt) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} P_{ij,k}^{(f)}(x_i v_j + u_i y_j)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{ij,k}^{(f)}\right](x_i v_j + u_i y_j)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(X(z)Y(t) + Y(z)X(t)) = 1.$$

Similarly we get $Y(zt) = 1$, i.e. $zt \in H_1$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{L}_z = \mathbb{R}z$ be an one-dimensional subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$ generated by $0 \neq z \in \mathcal{B}$. Consider $z^2 = \lambda z$.

If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the element $p = \frac{z}{\lambda}$ is a fixed point of V (since $p^2 = p$).

If $\lambda = 0$, then z is an absolute nilpotent element of the algebra $\mathcal{B}$.

Now assume $z \neq 0$ is a fixed point of V, that is, $z^2 = z$. Consider the space $\mathcal{L}_z = \mathbb{R}z$, then for any $x, y \in \mathcal{L}_z$ we have

$$xy = (\lambda_1 z)(\lambda_2 z) = \lambda_1\lambda_2 z^2 = \lambda_1\lambda_2 z \in \mathcal{L}_z.$$

The same is true for an absolute nilpotent element. This completes the proof. □

Let us study properties of the evolution algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ with constrains mentioned in the previous section.

4.1. **A hard constrained algebra.** Under hard constrain (3.1) the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ has the following multiplication table

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_i^{(f)}e_1^{(m)} = e_1^{(m)}e_i^{(f)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(e_i^{(f)} + e_1^{(m)}\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n\\
&e_1^{(f)}e_k^{(m)} = e_k^{(m)}e_1^{(f)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(e_1^{(f)} + e_k^{(m)}\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, \nu\\
&e_i^{(f)}e_k^{(m)} = e_k^{(m)}e_i^{(f)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(e_1^{(f)} + e_1^{(m)}\right) \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq 1, k \neq 1\\
&e_i^{(f)}e_j^{(f)} = e_k^{(m)}e_l^{(m)} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Proposition 4. *We have the following relations:*

$$((e_1^{(m)}e_{i_1}^{(f)})e_{i_2}^{(f)})\dots e_{i_k}^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2^k}(e_1^{(m)} + e_{i_k}^{(f)}),$$

$$((e_1^{(m)}e_1^{(f)})e_{i_1}^{(m)})\dots e_{i_k}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}(e_1^{(f)} + e_{i_k}^{(m)}),$$

For $s \neq 1$ we have

$$((e_1^{(m)}e_s^{(f)})e_{i_1}^{(m)})\dots e_{i_k}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}(e_1^{(f)} + e_{i_k}^{(m)}), \quad \textit{if} \quad \exists i_p \neq 1, \quad p \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

$$((e_1^{(m)}e_s^{(f)})e_1^{(m)})\dots e_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}(e_s^{(f)} + e_1^{(m)}).$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

From this proposition we conclude that the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ is not nilpotent. Using products (4.5) we derive that $dim\mathcal{B}_1^2 = n + \nu - 1$ and $(\mathcal{B}_1^2)(\mathcal{B}_1^2) = \mathcal{B}_1^2$. Hence, the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ is not also solvable.

Now we shall investigate one-dimensional ideals of the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$. From Proposition 3 we conclude that if $I = \langle z = (x, y)\rangle$ is an ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$, then $z \in H_0 \cup H_1 \cup Z_0$.

We have

$$ze_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(e_i^{(f)} + e_1^{(m)}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}X(z)e_1^{(m)}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$ze_1^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}Y(z)e_1^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_j e_j^{(m)}. \tag{4.7}$$

$$ze_k^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}X(z)e_1^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}x_1 e_k^{(m)} + \frac{1}{2}(X(z) - x_1)e_1^{(m)}, \quad k \geq 2, \tag{4.8}$$

$$ze_k^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}Y(z)e_1^{(m)} + \frac{1}{2}y_1 e_k^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}(Y(z) - y_1)e_1^{(f)}, \quad k \geq 2. \tag{4.9}$$

We consider the following three cases.

Case 1. Let $z = (x, y) \in H_0$. Then from equalities (4.6), (4.7) and a property of ideal we conclude that

$$ze_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i^{(f)} \in \langle z\rangle \Rightarrow z = x$$

$$ze_1^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_j e_j^{(m)} \in \langle z\rangle \Rightarrow z = y.$$

Therefore, $z = 0$.

Case 2. Let $z = (x, y) \in H_1$. Then from equalities (4.6), (4.7) and the property of ideal we conclude that

$$ze_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}e_1^{(m)} \in \langle z\rangle \Rightarrow z = x + y_1 e_1^{(m)},$$

$$ze_1^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}e_1^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_j e_j^{(m)} \in \langle z\rangle \Rightarrow z = x_1 e_1^{(f)} + y.$$

Consequently, $z = e_1^{(f)} + e_1^{(m)}$.

Case 3. Let $z = (x, y) \in Z_0$.

Subcase 3.1. Let $X(z) = 0$ and $Y(z) \neq 0$. Then from the equality (4.6) we conclude that

$$ze_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i^{(f)} \in \langle z\rangle \Rightarrow z = x.$$

Thus we have obtained that $z = x$, but this is a contradiction with condition $Y(y) \neq 0$.

Subcase 3.2. Let $X(z) \neq 0$ and $Y(z) = 0$. Then from the equality (4.7) we get that

$$ze_1^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_j e_j^{(m)} \in \langle z\rangle \Rightarrow x_i = 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

which is a contradiction with condition $X(z) \neq 0$.

Thus we have proved the following

Proposition 5. *The ideal $\langle e_1^{(f)} + e_1^{(m)}\rangle$ is unique (up to isomorphism) one-dimensional ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$.*

Therefore, the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ is not simple (in sense that it has non-trivial ideal).

Hypothesis 1. *In the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ there is not ideals except one-dimensional $\langle e_1^{(f)} + e_1^{(m)}\rangle$.*

Below we present several cases when the hypothesis is true.

For $s \geq 2$ let $I = \langle z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_s\rangle$ be s-dimensional ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{B}_1$, where $z_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,t}e_i^{(f)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_{j,t}e_j^{(m)}$ with $1 \leq t \leq s$.

From the equalities (4.6) - (4.9) we have

$$z_t e_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,t}e_i^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}X(z_t)e_1^{(m)} \in I,$$

$$z_t e_1^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}Y(z_t)e_1^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_{j,t}e_j^{(m)} \in I,$$

$$z_t e_k^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}X(z_t)e_1^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}x_{1,t}e_k^{(m)} + \frac{1}{2}(X(z_t) - x_{1,t})e_1^{(m)} \in I,$$

$$z_t e_k^{(f)} = \frac{1}{2}Y(z_t)e_1^{(m)} + \frac{1}{2}y_{1,t}e_k^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}(Y(z_t) - y_{1,t})e_1^{(f)} \in I.$$

Let z be an arbitrary element of the ideal I. Then $z = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_k z_k$.

From the first of the above-mentioned equalities we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,t}e_i^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}X(z_t)e_1^{(m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{k,t}z_k = \sum_{k=1}^{s}\alpha_{k,t}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,k}e_i^{(f)} + \sum_{k=1}^{s}\alpha_{k,t}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_{j,k}e_j^{(m)} \Rightarrow$$

$$x_{i,t} = 2\sum_{k=1}^{s}\alpha_{k,t}x_{i,k}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \quad X(z_t) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{s}\alpha_{k,t}y_{1,k}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{s}\alpha_{k,t}y_{j,k} = 0, \quad 2 \leq j \leq \nu. \tag{4.10}$$

From the second of the above equalities we have

$$\frac{1}{2}Y(z_t)e_1^{(f)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_{j,t}e_j^{(m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \beta_{k,t}z_k = \sum_{k=1}^{s}\beta_{k,t}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,k}e_i^{(f)} + \sum_{k=1}^{s}\beta_{k,t}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_{j,k}e_j^{(m)} \Rightarrow$$

$$Y(z_t)=2\sum_{k=1}^{s}\beta_{k,t}x_{1,k},\quad \sum_{k=1}^{s}\beta_{k,t}x_{i,k}=0,\ 2\le i\le n,\quad y_{j,t}=2\sum_{k=1}^{s}\beta_{k,t}y_{j,k},\ 1\le j\le \nu. \tag{4.11}$$

From the third of the above equalities we have

$$\frac{1}{2}X(z_t)e_1^{(f)}+\frac{1}{2}x_{1,t}e_k^{(m)}+\frac{1}{2}(X(z_t)-x_{1,t})e_1^{(m)}=\sum_{l=1}^{s}\gamma_{l,t}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i,l}e_i^{(f)}+\sum_{l=1}^{s}\gamma_{l,t}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}y_{j,l}e_j^{(m)}\Rightarrow$$

$$X(z_t)=2\sum_{l=1}^{s}\gamma_{l,t}x_{1,l},\quad \sum_{l=1}^{s}\gamma_{l,t}x_{i,l}=0,\quad 2\le i\le n, \tag{4.12}$$

$$X(z_t)-x_{1,t}=2\sum_{l=1}^{s}\gamma_{l,t}y_{1,l},\quad x_{1,t}=2\sum_{l=1}^{s}\gamma_{l,t}y_{k,l},\quad \sum_{l=1}^{s}\gamma_{l,t}y_{j,l}=0,\quad j\notin\{1,k\}. \tag{4.13}$$

From the fourth of the above equalities we derive

$$\frac{1}{2}Y(z_t)e_1^{(m)}+\frac{1}{2}y_{1,t}e_k^{(f)}+\frac{1}{2}(Y(z_t)-y_{1,t})e_1^{(f)}=\sum_{l=1}^{s}\delta_{l,t}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i,l}e_i^{(f)}+\sum_{l=1}^{s}\delta_{l,t}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}y_{j,l}e_j^{(m)}\Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{1}{2}Y(z_t)=\sum_{l=1}^{s}\delta_{l,t}y_{1,l},\quad \sum_{l=1}^{s}\delta_{l,t}y_{j,l}=0,\quad 2\le j\le m, \tag{4.14}$$

$$Y(z_t)-y_{1,t}=2\sum_{l=1}^{s}\delta_{l,t}x_{i,l},\quad \frac{1}{2}y_{1,t}=\sum_{l=1}^{s}\delta_{l,t}x_{k,l},\quad \sum_{l=1}^{s}\delta_{l,t}x_{i,l}=0,\quad i\notin\{1,k\}. \tag{4.15}$$

Consider the matrices $A=(2\alpha_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,s}$, $B=(2\beta_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,s}$, $C=(2\gamma_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,s}$ and $D=(2\delta_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,s}$.

Let $X=(X(z_1),\dots,X(z_s))$, (respectively, $Y=(Y(z_1),\dots,Y(z_s))$). Summing over i the first equality of (4.10) we get $A^tX^t=X^t$. Similarly using (4.10) - (4.11) we obtain $B^tY^t=Y^t,\ A^tY^t=X^t,\ B^tX^t=Y^t$.

Case 1. Let $detA\cdot detB\neq 0$. Then from

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s}\alpha_{k,t}y_{j,k}=0,\quad 2\le j\le\nu\quad\text{and}\quad\sum_{k=1}^{s}\beta_{k,t}x_{i,k}=0,\ 2\le i\le n$$

we derive that $x_{i,k}=y_{j,k}=0$ for any $i,j\neq 1$. Moreover, from $A^tX^t=X^t,\ A^tY^t=X^t$ we deduce $A^t(X^t-Y^t)=0\Rightarrow X^t=Y^t$. Therefore, $x_{1,t}=X(z_t)=Y(z_t)=y_{1,t}$ which mean that $z_t=x_{1,t}(e_1^{(f)}+e_1^{(m)})$ for any $t\in\{1,\dots,s\}\Rightarrow$ ideal I has dimension one.

Case 2. Let $detA\neq 0$ and $detB=0$. Then from

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s}\alpha_{k,t}y_{j,k}=0,\quad 2\le j\le\nu$$

we have $y_{j,k}=0$ for any $j\neq 1$ and hence, $Y(z_k)=y_{1,k}$. Moreover, from $A^tX^t=X^t,\ A^tY^t=X^t$ we deduce $A^t(X^t-Y^t)=0\Rightarrow X^t=Y^t$. Therefore,

$$X(z_t)=Y(z_t)=y_{1,t}.$$

Consider

$$x_{1,t} = 2\sum_{l=1}^{s} \gamma_{l,t} y_{k,l}, \quad 2 \le k \Rightarrow x_{1,t} = 0, \quad 1 \le t \le s.$$

$$Y(z_t) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{s} \beta_{k,t} x_{1,k} \Rightarrow y_{1,t} = 0.$$

So, we have that $z_t = \sum_{i=2}^{n} x_{i,t} e_i^{(f)}$.

The equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s} \beta_{k,t} x_{i,k} = 0, \ 2 \le i \le n$$

derive that $\langle z_1, \dots, z_s \rangle$ are not linear independent system, i.e., $dimI < s$ which is a contradiction.

Case 3. Let $detA = 0$ and $detB \neq 0$. This case also lead to contradiction similar to Case 2.

Case 4. Let $detA = detB = 0$.

Case 4.1 Let $detG \neq 0$. Then from $\sum_{l=1}^{s} \gamma_{l,t} x_{i,l} = 0, \quad 2 \le i \le n$ we have $x_{i,1} = x_{i,2} = x_{i,3} = \cdots = x_{i,s} = 0, \quad 2 \le i \le n$.

From

$$X(z_t) - x_{1,t} = 2\sum_{l=1}^{s} \gamma_{l,t} y_{1,l}$$

we conclude that

$$2\sum_{l=1}^{s} \gamma_{l,t} y_{1,l} = 0 \Rightarrow y_{1,1} = y_{1,2} = y_{1,3} = \cdots = y_{1,s} = 0.$$

Therefore, from

$$X(z_t) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{k,t} y_{1,k}$$

we have that $X(z_t) = x_{i,t} = 0, 1 \le i \le n$.

From

$$x_{1,t} = 2\sum_{l=1}^{s} \gamma_{l,t} y_{k,l}, \quad \sum_{l=1}^{s} \gamma_{l,t} y_{j,l} = 0, \quad j \notin \{1, k\}$$

we conclude that $y_{i,1} = y_{i,2} = \cdots = y_{i,s} = 0$ for $2 \le i \le \nu$. Thus we obtain that $z_t = 0, 1 \le t \le s$ which is a contradiction.

Case 4.2 Let $detD \neq 0$. The applying similar arguments as in previous subcase we get a contradiction.

In order to check correctness of Hypothesis it is necessary to consider the last case when $detA = detB = detC = detD = 0$. Hence, we reduced Hypothesis 1 to consideration of the case $detA = detB = detC = detD = 0$.

4.2. **Quotient algebra.** Let $I=\langle e_1^{(f)}+e_1^{(m)}\rangle$. Consider quotient algebra $\overline{B_1}=B_1/I$ with the basis $\{\overline{e_1^{(f)}},\overline{e_2^{(f)}},\dots,\overline{e_n^{(f)}},\overline{e_2^{(m)}},\dots,\overline{e_\nu^{(m)}}\}$. Then the table of multiplication of the algebra $\overline{B_1}$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{e_i^{(f)}}\cdot\overline{e_1^{(f)}}=\overline{e_1^{(f)}}\cdot\overline{e_i^{(f)}}=\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\overline{e_1^{(f)}}-\overline{e_i^{(f)}}\right),\quad i=2,\dots,n\\&\overline{e_k^{(m)}}\cdot\overline{e_1^{(f)}}=\overline{e_1^{(f)}}\cdot\overline{e_k^{(m)}}=\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\overline{e_1^{(f)}}+\overline{e_k^{(m)}}\right),\quad k=2,\dots,\nu,\end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

where the omitted products are equal to zero.

Remark 3. *Note that B_1 and I are symmetric with respect to exchange of basis of "females" with "males". Such a symmetry exists in $\overline{B_1}=B_1/I$ meaning that $\overline{B_1}$ can be given by two (symmetric) ways*

i) with the basis

$$\{\overline{e_1^{(f)}},\overline{e_2^{(f)}},\dots,\overline{e_n^{(f)}};\overline{e_2^{(m)}},\overline{e_3^{(m)}},\dots,\overline{e_\nu^{(m)}}\}$$

and the multiplication table given by (4.16);

ii) with the basis

$$\{\overline{e_2^{(f)}},\dots,\overline{e_n^{(f)}};\overline{e_1^{(m)}},\overline{e_2^{(m)}},\dots,\overline{e_\nu^{(m)}}\}$$

and the multiplication table

$$\overline{e_k^{(m)}}\cdot\overline{e_1^{(m)}}=\overline{e_1^{(m)}}\cdot\overline{e_k^{(m)}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{e_1^{(m)}}-\overline{e_k^{(m)}}\right),\ k=2,\dots,\nu;$$

$$\overline{e_i^{(f)}}\cdot\overline{e_1^{(m)}}=\overline{e_1^{(m)}}\cdot\overline{e_i^{(f)}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{e_1^{(m)}}+\overline{e_i^{(f)}}\right),\ i=2,\dots,n.$$

The following theorem characterizes ideals of $\overline{B_1}$.

Theorem 3. *An arbitrary ideal J of $\overline{B_1}$ is either*

$$J=\langle\overline{e_1^{(f)}}-\overline{e_i^{(f)}},\overline{e_1^{(f)}}+\overline{e_j^{(m)}},\ 2\le i,j\rangle$$

or

$$J=\langle\sum_{i=2}^{n}a_i\overline{e_i^{(f)}},\ \sum_{j=2}^{\nu}b_j\overline{e_j^{(m)}},\ \sum_{i=2}^{n}a_i=\sum_{j=2}^{\nu}b_j=0\rangle.$$

Proof. Let J be an ideal of $\overline{B_1}$ and $z=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}a_i\overline{e_i^{(f)}}+\sum\limits_{j=2}^{\nu}b_j\overline{e_j^{(m)}}$ be an arbitrary element of J.

If $\overline{e_1^{(f)}}\in J$ then by (4.16) we get $J=\overline{B_1}$. Therefore, we shall assume that $\overline{e_1^{(f)}}\notin J$.

Consider

$$z_1=2z\cdot\overline{e_1^{(f)}}=(\sum_{j=2}^{n}b_j+\sum_{i=2}^{n}a_i)\overline{e_1^{(f)}}-\sum_{i=2}^{n}a_i\overline{e_i^{(f)}}+\sum_{j=2}^{\nu}b_j\overline{e_j^{(m)}},$$

$$z_2 = z_1 - z = (\sum_{j=2}^{n} b_j + \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i - a_1)\overline{e_1^{(f)}} - 2\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i\overline{e_i^{(f)}},$$

$$z_3 = z_1 + z = (\sum_{j=2}^{n} b_j + \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i + a_1)\overline{e_1^{(f)}} + 2\sum_{j=2}^{\nu} b_j\overline{e_j^{(m)}},$$

$$z_4 = z_2 \cdot \overline{e_1^{(f)}} = \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i\overline{e_i^{(f)}} - (\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i)\overline{e_1^{(f)}},$$

$$z_5 = z_3 \cdot \overline{e_1^{(f)}} = \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} b_j\overline{e_j^{(m)}} + (\sum_{j=2}^{\nu} b_j)\overline{e_1^{(f)}},$$

$$z_6 = z_2 + 2z_4 = (\sum_{j=2}^{n} b_j - \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i - a_1)\overline{e_1^{(f)}}.$$

Since J is an ideal we should have $z_i \in J$, $i = 1, \ldots, 6$. Consequently, since $\overline{e_1^{(f)}} \notin J$ we obtain

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n} b_j - \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i = a_1.$$

Case 1. Let $a_1 \neq 0$. We put

$$u_i = z \cdot \frac{\overline{e_i^{(f)}}}{a_1} = (\overline{e_1^{(f)}} - \overline{e_i^{(f)}}),$$

$$v_j = z \cdot \frac{\overline{e_m^{(f)}}}{a_1} = (\overline{e_1^{(f)}} + \overline{e_j^{(m)}}).$$

For $2 \leq s \leq n$ we should have

$$z + \sum_{i=2, i\neq s}^{n} a_i u_i - \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} b_j v_j = -a_s(\overline{e_1^{(f)}} - \overline{e_s^{(f)}}) \in J.$$

Similarly,

$$b_t(\overline{e_1^{(f)}} + \overline{e_t^{(m)}}) \in J,\ 2 \leq t \leq \nu.$$

If $z \neq 0$, then either $\overline{e_1^{(f)}} - \overline{e_s^{(f)}} \in J$ for some s or $\overline{e_1^{(f)}} + \overline{e_t^{(m)}}$ for some t.

At any rate, by multiplication of these elements to $\overline{e_i^{(f)}}$, $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $\overline{e_j^{(m)}}$, $2 \leq j \leq \nu$ we obtain that $\overline{e_1^{(f)}} - \overline{e_i^{(f)}}$, $\overline{e_1^{(f)}} + \overline{e_j^{(m)}} \in J$ for any $2 \leq i, j$.

Case 2. Let $a_1 = 0$. Then applying the same arguments as in Case 1 for the elements $z_2,\ z_4, z_5$ we conclude that $\sum_{j=2}^{n} b_j = \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i\overline{e_i^{(f)}} \in J$ and $\sum_{j=2}^{\nu} b_j\overline{e_j^{(m)}} \in J$. □

4.3. **Case** $n=\nu=2$**.** In this case using (3.12) the corresponding four dimensional evolution algebra has the following multiplication table

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_1^{(f)}e_1^{(m)}=e_1^{(m)}e_1^{(f)}=\tfrac{1}{2}\left((1-a)e_1^{(f)}+ae_2^{(f)}+(1-c)e_1^{(m)}+ce_2^{(m)}\right),\\
&e_2^{(f)}e_1^{(m)}=e_1^{(m)}e_2^{(f)}=\tfrac{1}{2}\left((1-b)e_1^{(f)}+be_2^{(f)}+e_1^{(m)}\right),\\
&e_1^{(f)}e_2^{(m)}=e_2^{(m)}e_1^{(f)}=\tfrac{1}{2}\left(e_1^{(f)}+(1-d)e_1^{(m)}+de_2^{(m)}\right),\\
&e_2^{(f)}e_2^{(m)}=e_2^{(m)}e_2^{(f)}=\tfrac{1}{2}\left(e_1^{(f)}+e_1^{(m)}\right),\\
&e_i^{(f)}e_j^{(f)}=e_k^{(m)}e_l^{(m)}=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

Let us consider the following change of basis (which preserves sexual distinction)

$$e_1^{(f)\prime}=A_1e_1^{(f)}+A_2e_2^{(f)},\quad e_2^{(f)\prime}=B_1e_1^{(f)}+B_2e_2^{(f)}$$

$$e_1^{(m)\prime}=C_1e_1^{(m)}+C_2e_2^{(m)},\quad e_2^{(m)\prime}=D_1e_1^{(m)}+D_2e_2^{(m)}$$

with $(A_1B_2-A_2B_1)(C_1D_2-C_2D_1)\neq 0$.

Theorem 4. *The table of multiplications (4.17) preserves in new basis* $\{e_1^{(f)\prime}, e_2^{(f)\prime}, e_1^{(m)\prime}, e_2^{(m)\prime}\}$ *if the following relations hold true:*

$$a'=(A_1B_2-A_2B_1)^{-1}(A_1+A_2)(cA_1C_1-A_2C_1+dA_2C_1-A_2C_2),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b'=(A_1B_2-A_2B_1)^{-1}&(cA_1B_1C_1-A_2B_1C_1+cA_2B_1C_1\\
&+dA_1B_2C_1-A_2B_2C_1+dA_2B_2C_1-A_2B_1C_2-A_2B_2C_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$c'=(C_1D_2-C_2D_1)^{-1}(C_1+C_2)(aA_1C_1-A_1C_2+bA_1C_2-A_2C_2),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d'=(C_1D_2-C_2D_1)^{-1}&(aA_1C_1D_1-A_1C_2D_1+aA_1C_2D_1\\
&-A_2C_2D_1+bA_1C_1D_2-A_1C_2D_2+bA_1C_2D_2-A_2C_2D_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is carried out by straightforward calculations. □

This theorem is useful to reduce the number of parameters in an algebra. For example, in case $A_1+A_2=0$ and $C_1+C_2=0$ we get $a'=c'=0$ and the corresponding algebra remains with two parameters b' and d'. Since male and female are symmetric Theorem 4 is true (up to rename parameters) when the change of basis will exchange male basis elements with female basis elements.

5. Biological interpretation of the results.

In biology, a population genetics is the study of the distributions and changes of allele (type) frequency in a population.

The results formulated in previous sections have the following biological interpretations:

Let $z^{(0)} = (x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \in \mathcal{S}$ be an initial state, i.e., $x^{(0)}$ (resp. $y^{(0)}$) is the probability distribution on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ (resp. $\{1, \dots, \nu\}$) of genotypes. Assume the trajectory $z^{(m)}$ of this point has a limit $z = ((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_\nu))$ this means that the future of the population is stable: female genotypes i survives with probability x_i and male genotype j survives with probability y_j. A genotype will disappear if its probability is zero.

The case of hard constrain:

(a) The population has a continuum set of equilibrium states (Proposition 1), it stays in a neighborhood of one of the equilibrium states of the population (stable fixed point).

(b) If initially the type 1 of females *and* males have a positive *equal* probability, then in the future of the evolution the type 1 of both sexes will survive with probability 1 and consequently all other types will disappear. If initially the type 1 of females (resp. males) have positive probability which is strictly large than initial probability of the type 1 of males (resp. females) then type 1 of females (resp. males) survives with probability 1, but all types of males (resp. females) survive with probabilities *less* than 1 (interpretation of the part (i) of Theorem 1).

(c) If initially the type 1 of females is not present (i.e., has probability zero) but the type 1 of males has a positive probability, say $y_1^{(0)}$, then in the future of the evolution the type 1 of females survives with probability $1 - y_1^{(0)}$, moreover another types, say i, of females survives if and only if it was present initially (i.e. $x_i^{(0)} > 0$) the probability of its survive is $y_1^{(0)} x_i^{(0)}$; for males only type 1 survives with probability 1 ((ii) of Theorem 1). Moreover, the population goes to its equilibrium starting from the first generation.

Similar interpretation also can be made for the part (iii).

We note that from the parts (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1 it follows that if initially the type 1 of both sexes are not present then still this type 1 will survive with probability 1 (as in the case (b)). Moreover, this initial state, say $((0, x_2^{(0)}, \dots, x_n^{(0)}), (0, y_2^{(0)}, \dots, y_\nu^{(0)}))$, goes to the equilibrium state $((1, 0, \dots, 0), (1, 0, \dots, 0))$ in the next (first) generation. This is a consequence of the hard constrain which is assumed for the population.

The case of $n = \nu = 2$:

(d) Depending on the parameters the population my have one, two or infinitely many (continuum) equilibrium states (Proposition 2). The population stays in a neighborhood of one of the equilibrium states of the population (stable fixed point).

(e) In case $0 \leq a = b = c = d < 1$ the types of the population will survive. The future of the population is stable which has equilibrium $\left((\frac{1}{1+a}, \frac{a}{1+a}), (\frac{1}{1+a}, \frac{a}{1+a})\right)$ (part 1, of Theorem 2).

(f) In case $a = b = c = d = 1$ the population is periodic, i.e., the probability of a type will 2-periodically increase and decrease. For example, if the probability of the type 1, (i.e., $1 - x^{(0)}$) is less then $\frac{1}{2}$ the in the next generation the probability will be large than $\frac{1}{2}$, after that it will be less than $\frac{1}{2}$ and so on. Moreover, the population goes to this periodic state starting at the first generation (part 2, of Theorem 2).

(g) Under conditions of the part 3 of Theorem 2 all types of the population will survive if the initial state of the population is sufficiently close to the equilibrium state $((1 - x^*, x^*), (1 - x^*, x^*))$ and in the future the state of population will be in the neighborhood of this equilibrium state.

(h) Under conditions of the part 4 of Theorem 2 there are some initial states of the population for which in the future it will stay in a neighborhood of the equilibrium state $((1 - x^*, x^*), (1 - x^*, x^*))$. While there are other initial states for which the trajectory of the states will go out from a neighborhood of the equilibrium.

(i) Under conditions of part 5 of Theorem 2, depending on the initial state the population may go to the 2-periodic state.

Remark 4. *1) Note that in biology there are some species where population have reasonably predictable patterns of change although the full reasons for population periodicity is one of the major unsolved ecological problems. There are a number of factors which influence population change such as availability of food, predators, diseases and climate. The periodicity mentioned in items (f) and (i) can be examples of such biological phenomenon.*

2) It is known Bernstein's problem [10], [11], which is related to a fundamental statement of population genetics, the so-called stationarity principle. This principle holds provided that the Mendel law is assumed, but it is consistent with other mechanisms of heredity. An adequate mathematical problem is as follows. A quadratic stochastic operator V is a Bernstein mapping if $V^2 = V$. This property is just the stationarity principle. This property is known as Hardy-Weinberg law [6]. The problem is to describe all Bernstein mappings explicitly.

We note that the equality $V^2 = V$ means that for any $z \in S$ the point $V(z)$ is a fixed point for V. As a corollary of Theorems 1 and 2 we can say that the operators (3.2) and (3.13) are not Bernstein mapping. But for the operator (3.2) the condition $H^2(z) = H(z)$ is satisfied for any $z = (x, y) \in S$ with $x_1 y_1 = 0$.

Acknowledgements

U.Rozikov thanks Aix-Marseille University Institute for Advanced Study IMéRA (Marseille, France) for support by a residency scheme. The work also partially supported by the Grant No. 0828/GF4 of Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan. We thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

References

[1] M. Bertrand, *Algebres non associatives et algebres genetiques*, Memorial des Sciences Mathematiques, Fasc. 162, Gauthier-Villars Editeur, Paris, 1966.

[2] J.M. Casas, M. Ladra, U.A. Rozikov, *A chain of evolution algebras*. Linear Algebra Appl. **435**(4), (2011), 852–870.

[3] R.L. Devaney, *An introduction to chaotic dynamical system*, Westview Press, 2003.

[4] I.M.H. Etherington, *Genetic algebras*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 59 (1939) 242–258.

[5] R.N. Ganikhodzhaev, F.M. Mukhamedov and U.A. Rozikov, *Quadratic stochastic operators and processes: results and open problems*, Inf. Dim. Anal. Quant. Prob. Rel. Fields. 14 (2) (2011) 279–335.

[6] J. Hofbauer and K. Sigmund, The Theory of Evolution and Dynamical Systems (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988).

[7] H. Kesten, *Quadratic transformations: a model for population growth*. I. Adv. Appl. Probab. **2** (1970) 1–82.

[8] A. Labra, M. Ladra, U.A. Rozikov, *An evolution algebra in population genetics*. Linear Algebra Appl. **457**, (2014) 348-362.

[9] M. Ladra, U.A. Rozikov, *Evolution algebra of a bisexual population*, Jour. Algebra. **378** (2013), 153–172.

[10] Y.I. Lyubich, Mathematical structures in population genetics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[11] Y. I. Lyubich, *Ultranormal case of the Bernstein problem*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **31** (1997), 60–62.

[12] M.L. Reed, *Algebraic structure of genetic inheritance*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **34**(2) (1997) 107–130.

[13] U.A. Rozikov, *Evolution operators and algebras of sex linked inheritance*. Asia Pacific Math. Newsletter. **3**(1) (2013), 6–11.

[14] U. A. Rozikov, R. Varro, *Dynamical systems generated by a gonosomal evolution operator*. To appear in Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity. 2016, arXiv:1504.05109v1.

[15] J.P. Tian, Evolution algebras and their applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1921, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[16] R. Varro, *Gonosomal algebra*, Jour. Algebra. **447** (2016), 1–30.

[17] A. Wörz-Busekros, Algebras in genetics, Lecture Notes in Biomathematics, 36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.

A.Dzhumadil'daev, Institute of mathematics and mathematical modeling, Kazakhstan.
E-mail address: dzhuma@hotmail.com

B. A. Omirov and U. A. Rozikov, Institute of mathematics, 29, Do'rmon Yo'li str., 100125, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
E-mail address: omirov@mail.ru rozikovu@yandex.ru